metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yu-Mei Dai,^a* Xiao-Qin Wang^b and Jin-Feng Huang^a

^aCollege of Chemistry & Materials, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, People's Republic of China, and ^bThe State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: dym@ms.fjirsm.ac.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.010 Å R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.081 Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Poly[diaqua(μ_6 -benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylato)-(μ_6 -2,5-dicarboxybenzene-1,4-dicarboxylato)disamarium]

The title compound, $[Sm_2(C_{10}H_2O_8)(C_{10}H_4O_8)(H_2O)_2]_n$ or $[Sm_2(btec)(H_2btec)(H_2O)_2]_n$, was synthesized hydrothermally by the reaction of Sm_2O_3 with 1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylic acid (H₄btec). The Sm atom is coordinated by nine O atoms, three from H₂btec²⁻, five from btec⁴⁻ and one from a coordinated water molecule. Sm-O distances range from 2.376 (3) to 2.558 (5) Å.

Comment

Recently, the construction of supramolecular architectures has received much attention owing to their intriguing structural features and properties as new classes of materials (Fujita *et al.*, 1994, Hagrman *et al.*, 1999). We are interested in using H₄btec (1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylic acid) to construct coordination polymers because of its well established coordination chemistry. We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, (I). Two kinds of carboxylate ligands, *viz.* H₂btec^{2–} and btec^{4–}, are present in the structure. The Sm atom is coordinated by nine O atoms, three from H₂btec^{2–}, five from btec^{4–} and one from a coordinated water molecule. This leads to the formation of a three-dimensional structure. The compound is isostructural with its neodymium analogue (Sun *et al.*, 2002).



Experimental

 Sm_2O_3 (0.19 g, 0.5 mmol), H₄btec (0.127 g, 0.5 mmol) and 18% HCl (0.5 ml) were mixed in H₂O (10 ml) and heated at 433 K for 3 d in a sealed 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel under autogenous pressure. After cooling to room temperature at 5 K h⁻¹, yellow crystals of (I) were isolated, washed with water and dried in air.

Crystal data

[Sm₂(C₁₀H₂O₈)(C₁₀H₄O₈)-Z = 1 $(H_2O)_2$] $D_x = 2.697 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 839$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Cell parameters from 2333 a = 6.3222 (8) Å reflections b = 9.2626 (11) Å $\theta = 2.3 - 25.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 5.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 9.4421 (12) Å $\alpha = 88.316(2)^{\circ}$ T = 293 (2) K $\beta = 73.963 \ (2)^{\circ}$ Prism, vellow $\gamma = 76.626 \ (2)^{\circ}$ $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ V = 516.65 (11) Å³

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 26 September 2005 Accepted 2 November 2005

Online 10 November 2005

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.138$, $T_{max} = 0.423$ 2737 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 1.131846 reflections 185 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Sm1-O7 ⁱ	2.313 (5)	Sm1-O2	2.474 (5)
Sm1-O8 ⁱⁱ	2.378 (5)	Sm1-O6	2.479 (5)
Sm1 - O1W	2.384 (5)	Sm1-O1	2.525 (5)
Sm1-O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.442 (5)	Sm1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.558 (5)
$Sm1-O4^{iv}$	2.455 (5)		
$O7^i$ -Sm1-O8 ⁱⁱ	76.05 (18)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ -Sm1-O6	157.56 (17)
$O7^{i}$ -Sm1-O1W	139.2 (2)	$O4^{iv}$ -Sm1-O6	86.79 (17)
$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O1W	66.60 (19)	O2-Sm1-O6	71.21 (16)
O7 ⁱ -Sm1-O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	80.50 (18)	$O7^{i}$ -Sm1-O1	73.66 (18)
$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	72.76 (17)	$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O1	136.72 (17)
$O1W-Sm1-O3^{iii}$	102.7 (2)	O1W-Sm1-O1	146.62 (19)
O7 ⁱ -Sm1-O4 ^{iv}	146.00 (18)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ -Sm1-O1	72.35 (16)
$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O4 ^{iv}	136.90 (17)	$O4^{iv}$ -Sm1-O1	81.04 (17)
$O1W-Sm1-O4^{iv}$	70.57 (19)	O2-Sm1-O1	51.99 (16)
O3 ⁱⁱⁱ -Sm1-O4 ^{iv}	113.20 (16)	O6-Sm1-O1	123.08 (16)
O7 ⁱ -Sm1-O2	73.30 (19)	O7 ⁱ -Sm1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	128.62 (18)
$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O2	141.78 (18)	$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	102.63 (17)
O1W-Sm1-O2	130.1 (2)	$O1W-Sm1-O4^{iii}$	77.08 (19)
$O3^{iii}$ -Sm1-O2	122.87 (16)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ -Sm1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	51.85 (16)
$O4^{iv}-Sm1-O2$	73.28 (17)	$O4^{iv}$ -Sm1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	62.38 (18)
$O7^{i}$ -Sm1-O6	88.32 (19)	$O2-Sm1-O4^{iii}$	114.21 (17)
$O8^{ii}$ -Sm1-O6	85.73 (17)	$O6-Sm1-O4^{iii}$	143.04 (17)
O1W-Sm1-O6	73.5 (2)	$O1-Sm1-O4^{iii}$	74.35 (16)

1846 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0335P)^2]$

+ 5.4125*P*] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.024$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.2^{\circ}$

 $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$

 $k = -10 \rightarrow 11$

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 6$

1734 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O1^{v}$	0.82	2.07	2.891 (8)	175
$O5-H5A\cdots O2$	0.90	1.67	2.558 (7)	168

Symmetry code: (v) -x, -y, -z + 2.

The C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding on their parent atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The carboxyl H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The maximum and minimum residual electron-density peaks lie 0.92 and 0.93 Å, respectively, from atom Sm1.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SMART* and *SAINT* (Siemens, 1994); data reduction: *SAINT* and *XPREP* in *SHELXTL* (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL*; program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; mole-



Figure 1

Part of the polymeric structure of the title complex, showing the atomlabelling scheme and with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level.



Figure 2 The three-dimensional packing of the title complex. H atoms have been omitted.

cular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Foundation of the Ministry of Education of Fujian Province (No. JB03131).

References

- Fujita, M., Kwon, Y. J., Washizu, S. & Ogura, K. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1151–1152.
- Hagrman, P. J., Hagrman, D. & Zubieta, J. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 2638–2684.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). SAINT and SHELXTL. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). SMART. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sun, D. F., Cao, R., Liang, Y. C., Shi, Q. & Hong, M. C. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1847–1851.